

Call for Papers for a Special Issue

CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS IN 21ST CENTURY CORPORATIONS



Submission Deadline: October 31, 2018

Guest Editors

Sven Kunisch, University of St. Gallen, Switzerland
Markus Menz, University of Geneva, Switzerland
David J. Collis, Harvard University, USA

***JOD* Advisory Editors**

John Joseph, University of California, Irvine, USA
Metin Sengul, Boston College, USA

Background

The corporate headquarters (CHQ) is a defining feature of *all* corporations and, thus, a key concern in organization and management research (Chandler, 1962, 1991; Menz, Kunisch, & Collis, 2015). Scholars have explored various aspects of the CHQ, including its roles (e.g., Arrfelt et al., 2015; Chandler, 1991; Foss, 1997), its size and staffing (e.g., Collis, Young, & Goold, 2007, 2012; Kleinbaum & Stuart, 2014; Menz & Scheef, 2014; Whittington et al., 2017), its functions and subunits (e.g., Kunisch, Müller-Stewens, & Campbell, 2014; Menz & Barnbeck, 2017; Trichterborn, Knyphausen-Aufsess, & Schweizer, 2016), its disaggregation and dispersion (e.g., Nell, Kappen, & Laamanen, 2017), its location (e.g., Birkinshaw et al., 2006; Coeurderoy & Verbeke, 2016; Meyer & Benito, 2016), and its internal and external relations (e.g., Joseph & Ocasio, 2012; Kostova, Marano, & Tallman, 2016; Sengul & Gimeno, 2013). Collectively, these efforts have advanced our understanding of the CHQ and the functioning of corporations (see Kunisch, Menz, & Ambos, 2015; Menz et al., 2015).

However, recent changes in corporations' internal and external environments challenge extant knowledge about the CHQ and call for new research. First, the changing nature of modern corporations (Davis, 2016) suggests a need to rethink the roles and functions of the CHQ. For example, blurring firm boundaries and the rise of ecosystems can be expected to influence the nature and design of the CHQ. Second, novel CHQ forms are emerging, such as more dispersed and disaggregated CHQ, second homes, and even virtual headquarters. Finally, as technologies, automation, and artificial intelligence are increasingly penetrating businesses, we have to rethink the nature of CHQ work. For example, while information technology can be expected to reduce information-processing costs, it also enables the CHQ to take on new tasks, such as data analytics. In sum, these examples illustrate the need for a collective effort to revisit the functioning and design of the CHQ in the modern corporation.

Aims and Scope

Given these developments, the purpose of this *Journal of Organization Design* Special Issue is to shed new light on the design of the CHQ, especially with regard to different CHQ types, changes in the CHQ's design, and whether and why the CHQ is necessary. Ultimately, these insights will help advance our understanding of the nature and functioning of corporations in the 21st century. Possible research questions falling into four broad themes that reflect the scope of this Special Issue include, but are not limited to, the following.

Formal and informal design

- What are the boundaries of the CHQ? For example, what explains the rise of new CHQ forms (e.g., virtual CHQs, dispersed CHQs)?
- How do firms define CHQ subunits, and how do subunits affect innovation and strategic change? For example, which strategic, structural, and other factors affect decisions to locate a specific function (e.g., HR, IT, marketing, and strategy) in the CHQ?
- What interfaces do CHQs have (i.e., internal and external linkages)? What do such interfaces look like? What makes them more or less effective? For examples, what interfaces do CHQs have with other HQ layers such as regional or divisional HQ?

- How are formal and informal designs related? For example, how do CHQ structures affect CHQ attention, incentives, collaboration, cognition, and decisions?
- How are CHQ design, corporate strategy and location related? For example, how does CHQ design affect corporate strategy and strategic change? How do location choices affect CHQ designs?

People and staffing

- Who are the key actors at the CHQ? For example, to what extent has the “C-suite” changed (i.e. rise of CSO, CMO positions)?
- How and why are some career paths or experiences more suitable for preparing an executive for a CHQ position than others? For example, what are the (e.g., demographic and psychological) characteristics of CHQ managers and staff in general? Do they differ from those of managers and staff in the operating units? If so, how?
- What are the requirements for a CHQ position (as opposed to a line-management position), and how do those requirements affect the selection and development of candidates? Specifically, is a CHQ position a career springboard or a dead-end?
- How do various actors at the CHQ influence organizational outcomes, such as corporate strategy (i.e., M&A) and strategic change? For example, how do differences in the backgrounds of CHQ executives affect decisions regarding the design of the CHQ?
- To what extent have staffing and roles at the CHQ changed over time (e.g., strategic planners)?

Tools and practices

- What kinds of tools and practices are in place at the CHQ? For example, what is the role of benchmarking and best practices?
- How are CHQ tools and practices developed? How are they maintained? Do they have a tendency to deteriorate over time?
- In what ways do CHQ structures and staffing affect the adoption of tools and practices? For example, which biases of CHQ actors influence the adoption of certain tools and practices?
- Which kinds of tools and practices are more suitable in certain situations? For example, when do firms use corporate programs and/or strategic initiatives to formulate and implement corporate strategies?
- What are the relationships between various tools and various outcomes? For example, how does strategic planning and forecasting affect decision making?

Technology and resources

- How do technology and automation change the nature and design of the CHQ? For example, what new CHQ designs are made possible by new technologies?
- How do technology and automation affect tasks at the CHQ? For example, how does technology affect information processing or resource-allocation decisions at the CHQ?
- In what ways and with what consequences does technology supplement or complement CHQ staff?
- In what ways does CHQ staffing affect the adoption of technologies? For example, which biases of CHQ actors influence the adoption of technology?

- In what ways does technology affect organizational outcomes? For example, how do new technologies affect the development of CHQ capabilities? How does technology enable the CHQ to create and capture value?

JOD publishes various article types, including *research papers*, *research primers*, *translational*, *case studies*, *organization zoo*, and *points of view*, all of which can be submitted to this special issue. Please refer to the *JOD* website (<https://jorgdesign.springeropen.com>) for additional information on the specific article types.

Submission Process and Deadlines

- Manuscript submission deadline: October 31, 2018
- Submissions should be prepared in accordance with the *JOD* manuscript-preparation guidelines: <https://jorgdesign.springeropen.com/submission-guidelines>.
- Manuscripts should be submitted via the online submission system: <http://www.editorialmanager.com/jood/default.aspx>.
- Manuscripts will be reviewed according to the standard double-blind review process.

For questions regarding the content of this Special Issue, please contact the guest editors:

- Sven Kunisch, University of St. Gallen: sven.kunisch@unisg.ch
- Markus Menz, University of Geneva: markus.menz@unige.ch
- David J. Collis, Harvard University: dcollis@hbs.edu

References

- Arrfelt M, Wiseman RM, McNamara G, Hult GTM. 2015. Examining a Key Corporate Role: The Influence of Capital Allocation Competency on Business Unit Performance. *Strategic Management Journal* **36**(7): 1017-1034.
- Birkinshaw J, Braunerhjelm P, Holm U, Terjesen S. 2006. Why Do Some Multinational Corporations Relocate Their Headquarters Overseas? *Strategic Management Journal* **27**(7): 681-700.
- Chandler AD. 1962. *Strategy and Structure: Chapters in the History of the American Industrial Enterprise*. MIT Press: Cambridge, MA.
- Chandler AD. 1991. The Functions of the HQ Unit in the Multibusiness Firm. *Strategic Management Journal* **12**: 31-50.
- Coeurderoy R, Verbeke A. 2016. The Unbalanced Geography of the World's Largest MNEs: Institutional Quality and Head Office Distribution across Countries. *Global Strategy Journal* **6**(2): 127-148.
- Collis DJ, Young D, Goold M. 2007. The Size, Structure, and Performance of Corporate Headquarters. *Strategic Management Journal* **28**(4): 383-405.
- Collis DJ, Young D, Goold M. 2012. The Size and Composition of Corporate Headquarters in Multinational Companies: Empirical Evidence. *Journal of International Management* **18**(3): 260-275.

- Davis GF. 2016. *The Vanishing American Corporation: Navigating the Hazards of a New Economy*. Berrett-Koehler Publishers, Inc.: Oakland, CA.
- Foss NJ. 1997. On the Rationales of Corporate Headquarters. *Industrial & Corporate Change* **6**(2): 313-338.
- Joseph J, Ocasio W. 2012. Architecture, Attention, and Adaptation in the Multibusiness Firm: General Electric from 1951 to 2001. *Strategic Management Journal* **33**(6): 633-660.
- Kleinbaum AM, Stuart TE. 2014. Inside the Black Box of the Corporate Staff: Social Networks and the Implementation of Corporate Strategy. *Strategic Management Journal* **35**(1): 24-47.
- Kostova T, Marano V, Tallman S. 2016. Headquarters-Subsidiary Relationships in MNCs: Fifty Years of Evolving Research. *Journal of World Business* **51**(1): 176-184.
- Kunisch S, Menz M, Ambos B. 2015. Changes at Corporate Headquarters: Review, Integration and Future Research. *International Journal of Management Reviews* **17**(3): 356-381.
- Kunisch S, Müller-Stewens G, Campbell A. 2014. Why Corporate Functions Stumble. *Harvard Business Review* **92**(10): 110-117.
- Menz M, Barnbeck F. 2017. Determinants and Consequences of Corporate Development and Strategy Function Size. *Strategic Organization* **15**(4): 481-503.
- Menz M, Kunisch S, Collis DJ. 2015. The Corporate Headquarters in the Contemporary Corporation: Advancing a Multimarket Firm Perspective. *Academy of Management Annals* **9**(1): 633-714.
- Menz M, Scheef C. 2014. Chief Strategy Officers: Contingency Analysis of Their Presence in Top Management Teams. *Strategic Management Journal* **35**(3): 461-471.
- Meyer K, Benito G. 2016. Where Do MNEs Locate Their Headquarters? At Home! *Global Strategy Journal* **6**(2): 149-159.
- Nell PC, Kappen P, Laamanen T. 2017. Reconceptualising Hierarchies: The Disaggregation and Dispersion of Headquarters in Multinational Corporations. *Journal of Management Studies* **54**(8): 1121-1143.
- Sengul M, Gimeno J. 2013. Constrained Delegation: Limiting Subsidiaries' Decision Rights and Resources in Firms That Compete across Multiple Industries. *Administrative Science Quarterly* **58**(3): 420-471.
- Trichterborn A, Knyphausen-Aufsess DZ, Schweizer L. 2016. How to Improve Acquisition Performance: The Role of a Dedicated M&A Function, M&A Learning Process, and M&A Capability. *Strategic Management Journal* **37**(4): 763-773.
- Whittington R, Yakis-Douglas B, Ahn K, Cailluet L. 2017. Strategic Planners in More Turbulent Times: The Changing Job Characteristics of Strategy Professionals, 1960-2003. *Long Range Planning* **50**(1): 108-119.